



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/844,268	07/25/2001	Brad T. Bosworth	21419/91513	9905
23644 7.	590 12/21/2004		EXAM	INER
BARNES & THORNBURG			WOITACH, JOSEPH T	
P.O. BOX 2786 CHICAGO, IL 60690-2786			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
emendo, n	2 00030 2700		1632	
			DATE MAILED: 12/21/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/844,268	BOSWORTH ET AL.		
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Joseph T. Woitach	1632		
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 03 November 2004 FAILS TO F Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be eithe condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of A Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11	to avoid abandonment of this er: (1) a timely filed amendme opeal (with appeal fee); or (3)	application. A proper reply to a nt which places the application in		
PERIOD FO	R REPLY [check either a) or l	p)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires on: (2) the mailing date of no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expired for constant of the statutory period for reply expired for the statutory period for reply expired for the statutory period for reply expired for constant of the statutory period for reply expired for for reply	f this Advisory Action, or (2) the date xpire later than SIX MONTHS from the WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHE. The date on which the petition under eriod of extension and the correspondate of the shortened statutory period are Office later than three months after	he mailing date of the final rejection. HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP HE 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension ding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension for reply originally set in the final Office action; or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appel 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (3)	lant's Brief must be filed withi 7 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid disn	n the period set forth in nissal of the appeal.		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be enter	red because:			
(a) they raise new issues that would require	further consideration and/or s	earch (see NOTE below);		
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see N				
(c) they are not deemed to place the applica issues for appeal; and/or				
(d) they present additional claims without ca	anceling a corresponding num	ber of finally rejected claims.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) 2,4,6 ar amendment canceling the non-allowable claim	<u>rd 7</u> would be allowable if subr m(s).	nitted in a separate, timely filed		
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ reque application in condition for allowance because	est for reconsideration has bee e: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	en considered but does NOT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considere raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	d because it is not directed So	OLELY to issues which were newly		
. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as fol	lows:			
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>2,4,6-9 and 11</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_ •			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a)		ved by the Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Sta				
10. ☐ Other:		Taite		
<u> </u>				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment to claims 8 and 9 to include fragments raises new issues of art because primers outside the specific mutation at position 307 can be used for PCR or sequenceing methods capable of detecting the mutation. With respect to claim 11 the metes and bounds of what is encompassed by the the term FUT1, in particular the specific sequences that are required to be amplified and whether of if any have the specific enzyme sites. The claim is a product by process and is subject to the specific materials and conditions used in amplification. It does not appear that the specification supports amplification of the entire FUT1 gene, and potentially ony small specific regions surrounding the allele at position 307.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' arguments apply to claim amendments that have not been entered.